Mitigating the Risk of Bacterial Contamination of Platelets - Recent Developments

Michael R. Jacobs, MD, PhD, FRCPath, D(ABMM), F(AAM) Professor Emeritus of Pathology and Medicine Case Western Reserve University Director Emeritus, Clinical Microbiology University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center Cleveland, OH





Disclosures

| Grants | bioMerieux |
|--------------------|---|
| | Charles River Labs |
| | Fenwal |
| | Gambro |
| | Genprime |
| | Hemosystem |
| | Immunetics |
| | Pall |
| | Verax |
| Speaker fees | bioMerieux |
| | Verax |
| | Immunetics |
| Advisory Board | Verax |
| Scientific Advisor | BioSense Technologies |
| (uncompensated) | Lynntech, Inc. |
| | Blood Systems Inc. |
| Other | Member of the Bacterial Contamination Task Force of AABB |
| | Member of the Bacterial Contamination Task Force of International Society for Blood Transfusion |

Mitigating the Risk of Bacterial Contamination of Platelets - Recent Developments

The latest developments and the recommendations in the FDA Final Guidance published September 2019 will be reviewed. This new guidance will define how blood collection centers and hospital blood banks and transfusion services will need to operate moving forward.

Objectives:

- Characterize the extent and nature of this risk
- Review FDA Final guidance and the options for addressing this risk and their implications
- Review the role of rapid testing in satisfying guidance
- Present data on recent advances in rapid testing technology for bacteria in platelets

Bacterial Risk Control Strategies for Blood Collection Establishments and Transfusion Services to Enhance the Safety and Availability of Platelets for Transfusion. *Guidance for Industry*. September 2019 https://www.fda.gov/media/123448/download

Mitigating the Risk of Bacterial Contamination of Platelets - Recent Developments

Objectives:

Characterize the extent and nature of this risk

- Review FDA Final guidance and the options for addressing this risk and their implications
- Review the role of rapid testing in satisfying guidance
- Present data on recent advances in rapid testing technology for bacteria in platelets

Bacterial Contamination of Platelets (BCP) in the US

- BCP is a major problem due to room temperature storage
- Primary culture of apheresis collections was introduced in 2004
- Numerous studies show apheresis BCP of 300-400 per million primary culture negative units at time of use or outdate
- This extrapolates to 500-700 apheresis
 BCP transfused per year in the US (based on current annual pathogen reduction use on 250,000 units)
- Septic reactions and fatalities from BCP continue to be reported



 Fig. 3. Growth of S. liquefaciens (■) and S. epidermidis (▲) in

 either PLT-poor plasma (—) or PAS containing 30% plasma

 (- - -). Means ± SD of three independent experiments are

 shown.
 Greco, et al. Transfusion 2010, Nov;50(11):2344-52

Jacobs MR. BPAC Presentation, July 18, 2018 <u>Downloads/BPAC-Transcript-071818%20(1).pdf</u> Fatalities Reported to FDA Following Blood Collection and Transfusion Annual Summary for FY2017 https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/report-problem-center-biologics-evaluation-research/transfusiondonation-fatalities

Recent US CDC National Healthcare Safety Network Hemovigilance and FDA Data

CDC NHSN Hemovigilance 2010-2016

- Included 195 institutions with surveillance of 1.54 million platelet transfusions
- Report documented 30 septic reactions to platelets 14 severe, 5 lifethreatening and 3 fatal
- 26 were associated with apheresis and 4 with WBD platelets
- FDA annual reports of transfusion associated fatalities 2013-2017
 - Bacterially contaminated platelets accounted for 10 fatalities
 - 9 were associated with apheresis and 1 with WBD platelets

Haass KA et al. Transfusion Medicine Reviews 2019, 33:84-91 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmrv.2019.01.001</u> Fatalities Reported to FDA Following Blood Collection and Transfusion Annual Summary for FY2017 https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/report-problem-center-biologics-evaluation-research/transfusiondonation-fatalities

| 7 Platelets, University Hospitals Cleveland, 1991-2013: Detection of septic reactions 10-fold lower by passive surveillance | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Period | | 1991-2006 (1) 20 | | | | | |
| Survoillanco | Active | Passive | Odds Ratio | Active | | | |
| Surveillance | (n=102,998) | (n=135,885) | (95% C.I.) | (n=51,440) | | | |
| Bacterial | 50 | 2 | 32.0 | 20 | | | |
| contamination | 485/mill | 15/mill | (8.0-135.0) | 389/mill | | | |
| Sonoio | 16 | 2 | 10.6 | 5* | | | |
| Sepsis | 155/mill | 15/mill | (2.4-45.9) | 97/mill | | | |
| Death | 1 | 1 | 1.3 | 1* | | | |
| | 10/mill | 7/mill | (0.01-21.1) | 19/mill | | | |

Active surveillance for Bacterial Contamination of

*None detected by passive surveillance

1. Jacobs MR, Yomtovian R CID 2008; 46:1217

2. Hong H et al. Blood 2016, 127(4):496-502

Transfusion reactions are associated with platelets contaminated with bacterial loads of >10⁵ cfu/mL



8

Current methods to limit BCP

- Collection: Enhanced skin prep, diversion of first part of collection
- Prepooling of WBD units
- Primary culture of apheresis collections and prepooled WBD units
- Secondary testing of apheresis and prepooled WBD units by rapid test or culture
- Pathogen reduction

Recent fatal transfusion reactions 2017

Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

Fatal Sepsis Associated with Bacterial Contamination of Platelets — Utah and California, August 2017

Roberta Z. Horth, PhD^{1,2,3}; Jefferson M. Jones, MD⁴; Janice J. Kim, MD⁵; Bert K. Lopansri, MD⁶; Sarah J. Ilstrup, MD⁶; Joy Fridey, MD⁷; Walter E. Kelley, DO⁸; Susan L. Stramer, PhD⁹; Ashok Nambiar, MD¹⁰; Lynn Ramirez-Avila, MD¹⁰; Amy Nichols, MBA¹⁰; Wendy Garcia¹¹; Kelly F. Oakeson, PhD¹²; Nicholas Vlachos, MS⁴; Gillian McAllister⁴; Robert Hunter, MS⁵; Allyn K. Nakashima, MD³; Sridhar V. Basavaraju, MD⁴

- During August 2017, two separate clusters of platelet transfusionassociated bacterial sepsis were reported in Utah and California.
- In Utah, **two patients died** after platelet transfusions from the same donation.
- **Clostridium perfringens** isolates from one patient's blood, the other patient's platelet bag, and donor skin swabs were highly related by whole genome sequencing
- In California, one patient died after a platelet transfusion contaminated with Klebsiella pneumoniae

MMWR / June 29, 2018 / Vol. 67 / No. 25 / p 718-722

Four severe septic reactions from three apheresis platelet collections 2018

- Platelets were apheresis units collected and manufactured by different facilities, staff, and equipment
- Platelet units included one pathogen reduced unit (with negative cocomponent), one apheresis unit (with negative co-component) and two apheresis units from the same collection
- Interventions to mitigate risk of contamination included primary culture, pathogen reduction and rapid testing
- Acinetobacter baumannii isolated from all 4 patients, Staphylococcus saprophyticus from 2 patients
- All 4 patients developed severe septic reactions, with one being fatal
- Acinetobacter baumannii cultured from Blood Bank environment in one case
- CDC investigation suggests a common (but unknown) source of both organisms

Jones, SA, Jones JM, et al. Sepsis attributed to bacterial contamination of platelets associated with a potential common source – Multiple states. MMWR, July 14, 2019. 519-523

What has this experience taught us?

- Bacterial contamination is real and continues to the present; additional measures are needed to address this
- Active bacterial surveillance by culture of platelets at time of issue is the key to understanding the extent of the problem and the effect of interventions
- Primary culture was effective in removing many of the fastest-growing, most virulent bacterial species but not in eliminating septic reactions and fatalities
- Recognition and reporting of septic reactions is poor, so assessment of the value of interventions based on septic reaction reports is of limited value
- Clinical features of septic reactions changed after introduction of primary culture: frequently delayed, less severe and more difficult to differentiate from other transfusion reactions

Mitigating the Risk of Bacterial Contamination of Platelets - Recent Developments

Objectives:

- Characterize the extent and nature of this risk
- Review FDA Final guidance and the options for addressing this risk and their implications
- Review the role of rapid testing in satisfying guidance
- Present data on recent advances in rapid testing technology for bacteria in platelets

Final Guidance has been published by the FDA

Published September 30, 2019

- 18 month recommended compliance period (by March 2021)
- Applies to all blood collection establishments and transfusion services
- Makes numerous recommendations
- Must use FDA-cleared or approved products in order to comply
- Use of these products must be consistent with their instructions for use

Bacterial Risk Control Strategies for Blood Collection Establishments and Transfusion Services to Enhance the Safety and Availability of Platelets for Transfusion

Guidance for Industry

Additional copies of this guidance are available from the Office of Communication, Outreach and Development (OCOD). 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Rm. 3128, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, or by calling 1-800-835-4709 or 240-402-8010, or email ocod@fda.hhs.gov, or from the Internet at https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/guidance-compliance-regulatory-information-

For questions on the content of this guidance, contact OCOD at the phone numbers or email

address listed above

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research September 2019

Guidance overview

Key FDA clarifications in the guidance

 Products may ship during recommended culture incubations provided that control of the product is maintained during the incubation period

and

 Platelets that have been identified as bacterially contaminated may not be released for transfusion

Guidance Appendix A

APPENDIX A: BACTERIAL RISK CONTROL STRATEGIES ASSOCIATED WITH SPECIFIC PLATELET STORAGE DURATION AND TYPE OF PLATELET UNIT

Single units of Pre-storage pools of Post-storage pools Apheresis WBD platelets WBD platelets of WBD LVDS ≥ 36 hours $LVDS \ge 36$ hours Rapid testing Rapid testing Primary culture ≥ 24 Primary culture ≥ hours Pathogen reduction + secondary culture ≥ 24 hours 5 days day 3 Primary culture ≥ 24 Primary culture ≥ 24 hours hours Primary culture ≥ + secondary culture ≥ day 3 + secondary rapid 36 hours testing Primary culture ≥ 24 hours + secondary rapid testing Storage duration $LVDS \ge 48$ hours $LVDS \ge 36$ hours + secondary rapid testing $LVDS \ge 36$ hours 7 days N/A N/A N/A + secondary culture ≥ day 4 Primary culture ≥ 24 hours + secondary culture ≥ day 4 Primary culture ≥ 24 hours

Types of Units

LVDS=large volume (16 mL), delayed sampling

+ secondary rapid testing

Guidance Appendix B

APPENDIX B: SUMMARY OF BACTERIAL RISK CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR APHERESIS AND PRE-STORAGE POOLS OF WBD DERIVED PLATELETS

| Strategy | | Applicable Components ¹ | Time Performed | Volume Sampled ² | Product to be Sampled | Growth Conditions | Recommended Incubation Period | Expiry | |
|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Single-step Strategies | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | LVDS 236 hours | Apheresis and pre-storage pools | No sooner than 36 hours from the time of collection | ≥16 mL total | Each apheresis split unit or pre-storage pool | Aerobic and anaerobic | Minimum of 12 hours | Day 5 ³ | |
| 2 | LVDS 248 hours | Apheresis | No sooner than 48 hours from the time of collection | ≥16 mL total | Each apheresis split unit | Aerobic and anaerobic | Minimum of 12 hours | Day 74 | |
| Pathogen Reduction | | Per device instructions for use | Per device instructions for use | N/A | Per device instructions for use | N/A | N/A | Per device instructions for use | |
| | | | Tw | o-step Strategi | es | | | | |
| Step 1 | Primary culture ≥24 hours | Apheresis and pre-storage pools | No sooner than 24 hours from time of collection | ≥ 16 mL total | Main collection ("mother bag"), each apheresis split unit, or pre-storage pool | Aerobic and anaerobic | Minimum of 12 hours | See note ⁵ | |
| Step 1 | LVDS ≥36 hours | Apheresis and pre-storage pools | No sooner than 36 hours from the time of collection | ≥16 mL total | Each apheresis split unit or pre-storage pool | Aerobic and anaerobic | Minimum of 12 hours | Day 5 ⁶ | |
| Step 2 | Secondary | Apheresis and pre-storage pools | No sooner than day 3 | ≥8 mL | Each split unit or pre-storage pool | At least aerobic | Establish a minimum incubation time period in SOPs | Day 5 | |
| | culture | Apheresis | No sooner than day 4 | ≥16mL total | Each split unit | Aerobic and anaerobic | Minimum of 12 hours | Day 7 ⁷ | |
| | Secondary rapid testing | Apheresis and pre-storage pools | Per device instructions for use | Per device instructions for use | Each apheresis split unit or pre-storage pool | N/A | N/A | Per device instructions for use (up to day 7 ⁸) | |

¹ This table applies only to apheresis platelets and pre-storage pools of whole blood derived (WBD) platelets. For post-storage pooled products and single units of WBD platelets, see section III.C. and Appendix C of the guidance.

² When aerobic and anaerobic cultures are performed, sampled volumes should be split evenly between aerobic and anaerobic culture bottles.

³ The storage of platelets tested by LVDS no sooner than 36 hours may be extended by secondary testing methods. See Step 1 of 'Two-step strategies', and footnote 4 of Appendix B.

⁴ Platelets may only be stored beyond day 5 and up to day 7 if each component is tested using a bacterial detection device cleared by FDA and labeled for use as a "safety measure" according to its instructions for use, and if the platelet storage container has been cleared or approved for 7-day storage.

⁵ Following primary culture performed no sooner than 24 hours, apheresis and pre-storage pooled platelet components should not be transfused after day 3 unless appropriate secondary testing (culture or rapid testing) has been performed to assure that the risk of bacterial contamination has been adequately controlled. See section III.B.2 of the guidance for additional details.

⁶ The storage of platelets tested by LVDS no sooner than 36 hours may be extended by secondary testing methods. See footnote 4 of Appendix B.

⁷ See footnote 4 of Appendix B.

⁸ See footnote 4 of Appendix B.

Guidance Appendix C

| | | Applicable | | | Growth | Recommended | |
|------|------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|------------------------|---|
| St | rategy | Components | Time Performed | Volume Sampled | Conditions | Incubation Period | Expiry |
| | | | Sin | gle-step Strategies | | | |
| Rapi | id testing | Single unit or post-storage pool | Per device instructions for use | Per device instructions for use | N/A | N/A | Per device instructions for use (up to day 5 |
| Sing | le culture | Single unit | No sooner than 36 hours from time of collection or No sooner than 24 hours from time of collection | Largest practical volume within the range permitted by the device instructions for use | At least aerobic | Minimum of 12 hours | Day 5 ² |

¹ Based on currently available storage systems, storage of these products is limited to 5 days.

² Following primary culture performed no sooner than 24 hours, for transfusion after day 3 of storage, secondary rapid testing may be considered.

APPENDIX D: EXAMPLE TIMELINES OF BACTERIAL RISK CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR APHERESIS PLATELETS



Current methods

| | | Time after | Incubation | Shelf | FDA status |
|-----|--|----------------|---------------|--------|--------------|
| | | collection | time before | life | |
| | | (hours) | release | (days) | |
| | | | (hours) | | |
| C | urrent practices under AABB standard and FDA | | | | |
| re | egulations | | | | |
| A | pheresis and pre-storage pooled WBD: Culture at \geq 24 h after | | | | |
| | ollection of 8 mL in an aerobic bottle per apheresis collection | ≥24 | ~12 | 5 | Cleared |
| or | whole-blood derived pool | | | | |
| Se | econdary testing of apheresis platelet units in plasma with | NA | NA | | Cleared with |
| FI | DA cleared Safety Measure rapid test within 24 hours of | | | Up to | Safety |
| tra | ansfusion OR | | | | Measure for |
| C | ulture of apheresis units on day 4 or later in both aerobic and | ≥Day 4 | Not specified | / | BacT/ALERT |
| an | naerobic bottles with 8-10 mL per bottle | | | | and PGD test |
| Ra | apid test on single unit WBD or post storage WBD pools | NIΛ | ΝA | 5 | Classed |
| W | ithin 4 hours of transfusion | INA | INA | 5 | Cleared |
| Pa | athogen reduction of apheresis units performed within 24 h | <21 | ΝA | 5 | Cloarad |
| of | Collection | <u>></u> 24 | INA | 5 | Cleared |

Summary of new FDA guidance

| Specific timing definitions: Times in hours = exact time after collection or sampling Times in days = any time on day specified | Time after collection (hours) | Incubation time before release (hours) | Shelf life (days) | FDA status |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| Final Guidance: 1 Single-step strategies | | | | |
| a Culture at ≥36 h after collection of 16 mL (8 mL in an aerobic bottle and 8 mL in an anaerobic bottle) per split apheresis unit or whole-blood derived pool | ≥36 | ≥12 | 5 | Cleared |
| b Culture at \geq 48 h after collection of 16 mL (8 mL in an aerobic bottle and 8 mL in an anaerobic bottle) per split apheresis unit | ≥48 | ≥12 | 7 | Needs "safety measure" label for 7d* |
| c Pathogen reduction of apheresis units performed within 24 h of collection | ≤24 | NA | 5 | Cleared |
| Final Guidance: 2 Two-step strategies | | | | |
| Step 1: Culture at ≥24 h after collection of 16 mL (8 mL in an aerobic and 8 mL in an anaerobic bottle) per apheresis collection (mother bag), apheresis split unit or whole-blood derived pool OR | ≥24 | ≥12 | 3* | Cleared |
| Culture as in 1a above (≥36 h with 16 mL cultured) | ≥36 | | 5* | |
| Step 2: To extend Step 1 shelf life, three options are available: | | | | |
| a Secondary culture of each unit of 8 mL in aerobic bottle on ≥Day 3 | | Set by user | 5 | Cleared |
| b Secondary culture of each unit of 16 mL as in step 1a on \geq Day 4 | | ≥12 | 7 | Cleared |
| c Rapid testing of each unit within 24 hours of transfusion \geq Day 3 - device with Safety Measure claim allows 7 day dating of apheresis platelets in plasma | | NA | 5 or 7 | Cleared for 5 d with BacTx and for 7 d with Safety Measure for PGD test |

*Culture of 25,000-50,000 apheresis units at time of use or at outdate is needed to determine the performance of this method (Jacobs MR, BPAC presentation 2018)

Implications for blood centers and hospitals?

Three technology choices

- Culture
 - LVDS for 5 or 7 Day dating of apheresis platelets in plasma not yet FDA cleared for 7 d
 - Secondary culture for 5 day dating of apheresis platelets or pre storage pools
 - Secondary culture for 7 day dating of apheresis platelets
 - Single WBDs for 5 day dating
- Pathogen Reduction for 5 day dating
 - Applies to leukoreduced apheresis platelets in PAS or plasma
- Rapid Testing for 5 or 7 day dating
 - Applies to leukoreduced apheresis in plasma (LRAPs) for 7 day dating or LRAPs in plasma or PAS, pre-storage pooled WBDs for 5 day dating and single units and post storage pools of WBDs

Large Volume Delayed Sampling (LVDS) Increased sample size and delayed platelet release



- Take sample 36-48 hours after collection from each bag in the collection
- 16 mL for a single
- 32 mL for a double
- 48 mL for a triple
- Inoculate Aerobic & Anaerobic bottles
- 12 hour minimum incubation
- Monitor until expiration (up to Day 7)

Large Volume Delayed Sampling

- Significant cost and platelet availability implications:
 - Reduced split collection rates due to larger sample size
 - Cost of additional cultures in bottles, hardware and labor
 - Need for additional collections / recruiting to offset split rate impact
- Nine secondary culture or testing studies of apheresis contaminants missed by primary culture showed contamination rates that were 1) not statistically significantly different and 2) independent of volume cultured, time of testing and test method

Increasing volume and delaying testing of apheresis units does NOT decrease residual contamination rate



25

No statistically significant differences between **MPSV**, LVDS* and current primary culture

*Culture of 25,000-50,000 apheresis units at time of use or at outdate is needed to determine the performance of this method (Jacobs MR, BPAC presentation 2018)

df

Secondary culture for 5 or 7 day dating

- Significant cost and logistics implications:
 - Quarantine time and logistics of returning to BCs for retesting
 - Cost of additional cultures in bottles, hardware and labor
 - Not all units may qualify for 7 day option given large sample volume required

Lower cost than pathogen reduction

| | Primary culture* | Pathogen reduction | Primary culture* + secondary rapid test | Primary culture + secondary culture* |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| Total cost per transfused unit** | \$719.48 | \$914.53 | \$728.65 | \$738.50 |
| Increase in cost per unit | | +\$195.05 | +\$9.17 | +\$19.02 |

*Primary and secondary cultures using aerobic bottles only in these calculations

**Includes costs of platelet unit, secondary testing, administration, complications and expired units

Kacker S, Bloch EM, Ness PM, Gehrie EA, Marshall CE, Lokhandwala PM, Tobian AAR. Financial impact of alternative approaches to reduce bacterial contamination of platelet transfusions. Transfusion. 2019 Apr; 59(4):1291-1299. Epub 2019 Jan 8.

Pathogen Reduction

Apheresis platelets for 5 day dating

- FDA cleared treatment sets available for singles and doubles but not triples
- Not FDA cleared for pre-storage pools, single WBDs or post storage WBD pools
- No holding period or incubation delays before use
- Significant cost and platelet availability implications:
 - Reduced split collection rates due to reduced processing yield
 - Need for additional collections / recruiting to offset split rate impact
 - Cost estimated to be around \$200 more than testing an apheresis unit with PGD rapid test for 7 Day dating*

*Li JW et al. Transfusion 2017, 57:2321 Kacker S et al. Transfusion. 2019 59:1291 Mitigating the Risk of Bacterial Contamination of Platelets - Recent Developments

Objectives:

- Characterize the extent and nature of this risk
- Review FDA Final guidance and the options for addressing this risk and their implications

Review the role of rapid testing in satisfying guidance

 Present data on recent advances in rapid testing technology for bacteria in platelets

Summary of new FDA guidance: Culture of apheresis collection at 24h plus rapid test

| Spec Time Time | ific timing definitions: es in hours = exact time after collection or sampling es in days = any time on day specified | Time after collection (hours) | Incubation time before release (hours) | Shelf life (days) | FDA status |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| Fina | l Guidance: 1 Single-step strategies | | | | |
| | a Culture at ≥36 h after collection of 16 mL (8 mL in an aerobic bottle and 8 mL in an anaerobic bottle) per split apheresis unit or whole-blood derived pool | ≥36 | ≥12 | 5 | Cleared |
| | b Culture at ≥48 h after collection of 16 mL (8 mL in an aerobic bottle and 8 mL in an anaerobic bottle) per split apheresis unit | ≥48 | ≥12 | 5 7 | Cleared for 5 d Needs "safety measure" label for 7d |
| | c/Pathogen reduction of apheresis units performed within 24 h of collection | ≤24 | NA | 5 | Cleared |
| Fina | l Guidance: 2 Two-step strategies | | | | |
| | Step 1: Culture at \geq 24 h after collection of 16 mL (8 mL in an aerobic and 8 mL in an anaerobic bottle) per apheresis collection (mother bag), apheresis split unit or whole-blood derived pool OR | ≥24 | ≥12 | 3* | Cleared |
| | Culture as in 1a above (≥36 h with 16 mL cultured) | ≥36 | | 5* | |
| | Step 2: To extend Step 1 shelf life, three options are available: | | | | |
| | a Secondary culture of each unit of 8 mL in aerobic bottle on \geq Day 3 | | Set by user | 5 | Cleared |
| | b Secondary culture of each unit of 16 mL as in step 1a on \geq Day 4 | | ≥12 | 7 | Cleared |
| | c Rapid testing of each unit within 24 hours of transfusion ≥Day 3 - device with Safety Measure claim allows 7 day dating of apheresis platelets in plasma | | NA | 5 or 7 | Cleared for 5 d with BacTx and for 7 d with Safety Measure for PGD test |

Rapid testing: for 5 or 7 day dating

- Apheresis platelets in plasma, PAS or pre-storage WBD pools
 - 500 μL sample for any unit type previously tested by early culture
 - Use PGD Test within 24 hours of transfusion (Day 3+ post-collection for optimal performance) for 5 day dating
 - Use PGD Test on apheresis platelets in plasma within 24 hours of transfusion (Day 3+ post-collection for optimal performance) for 7 day dating
- 1:3,069 detection rate in a culture negative apheresis inventory*
- Overall Specificity of 99.9%*

Rapid testing: for 5 or 7 day dating

 Typical users implement with daily batch testing and do not require additional staff

| Doses Tested Annually | Batches | Total Time Required | Attended Time Required |
|-----------------------|---------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 2,000 | of 6 | 40 min, 37 sec | 17 min, 30 sec |
| 4,000 | of 12 | 61 min | 35 min |
| 6,000 | 3 of 6 | 122 min | 53 min |
| 8,000 | 2 of 12 | 122 min | 70 min |

PGD Batch Testing time motion study

Rapid testing: for 5 or 7 day dating

Economic implications: Survey of 16 blood collection centers and 66 hospitals

- 7 day dating of LRAPs can fully fund all testing and save money
- No impact on split rates due to small sample size, with reduced need for donor recruiting with 7 day dating

| Survey of blood collection centers and hospitals that use PGD Test to extend dating to 7 days | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Blood collection Centers (N=16) | Hospitals (N=66) | | | | | |
| Mean outdate reduction | 69% | 74% | | | | | |
| Annual mean cost savings | \$415,000 | \$176,803 | | | | | |

Mintz PD. Seven-day platelet storage: Outdate reduction and cost savings (abstract). Ann Clin Lab Sci 2019;49(3):414. Presented at the Association of Clinical Scientists Annual Meeting. Hershey PA. May 2019.

Rapid testing: post-storage WBD pools and single WBDs

- Single units and post-storage pools
 - Test within 4 hours of use
 - 5 day dating
- Cost and workflow implications:
 - Only practical test given small sample size and one test per pool

Mitigating the Risk of Bacterial Contamination of Platelets - Recent Developments

Objectives:

- Characterize the extent and nature of this risk
- Review FDA Final guidance and the options for addressing this risk and their implications
- Review the role of rapid testing in satisfying guidance
- Present data on recent advances in rapid testing technology for bacteria in platelets

Updated Platelet PGD Test Significantly increased specificity

- An 18 hospital study of the original Platelet PGD Test demonstrated overall specificity for apheresis platelets in plasma of 99.5%
- A manufacturing change to Line 1 of the test strip was made to address this issue
- 5,410 platelet units were tested side by side with the updated and current versions of the PGD test at 3 sites and their performance was compared

| | # of | Observed Specificity (LCL*) | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Platelet Type | Platelet Doses Tested | Original PGD Test | Updated PGD Test | | | |
| Leukoreduced Apheresis in plasma | 3303 | 99.4% (99.1%) | 99.9% (99.8%) | | | |
| Non-Leukoreduced WBD | 498 | 98.8% (97.7%) | 99.4% (98.5%) | | | |
| Apheresis In Platelet Additive Solution | 416 | 99.8% (98.9%) | 99.8% (98.9%) | | | |
| Pre-Storage Pools (Acrodose™) | 1193 | 99.7% (99.4%) | 99.9% (99.6%) | | | |

Platelet PGD Test package Insert, Rev J

PGDprime Test – the next update to PGD



PGD Procedure

- Add Reagent 1 (lysing agent) to platelet sample
- 2. Centrifuge
- 3. Decant supernatant
- 4. Add Reagent 2 (base) to pellet
- 5. Disrupt pellet and mix
- Add Reagent 3 (neutralizer) and vortex
- 7. Transfer to PGD test device.

Validation of the Specificity of the PGD*prime®* Test for Bacteria in Platelets with Commercial Scale Lots

Lisa Shinefeld, Nancy Hornbaker, Pat Rasmusson, Nancy Best, Willa Lee, Gary Tambolleo,

Michael Pelak, Johny Lisitu, Remo Vallejo Verax Biomedical Incorporated, Marlborough, MA

PGDprime Test – the next update to PGD



PGD Procedure

- Add Reagent 1 (lysing agent) to platelet sample
- 2. Centrifuge
- 3. Decant supernatant
- 4. Add Reagent 2 (base) to pellet
- 5. Disrupt pellet and mix
- Add Reagent 3 (neutralizer) and vortex
- 7. Transfer to PGD test device.



PGDprime Procedure

- Add Reagent 1A (base) to platelet sample. Invert to mix.
- Add Reagent 1B (neutralizer) to sample. Invert to mix.
- 3. Transfer to test device.
- 4. Add Chase buffer (Reagent 2).
- No centrifuge is required.

Validation of the Specificity of the PGD*prime®* Test for Bacteria in Platelets with Commercial Scale Lots

Lisa Shinefeld, Nancy Hornbaker, Pat Rasmusson, Nancy Best, Willa Lee, Gary Tambolleo,

Michael Pelak, Johny Lisitu, Remo Vallejo

Verax Biomedical Incorporated, Marlborough, MA

Conclusions

- New FDA guidance on mitigating the risk of bacterial contamination of platelets was published in September 2019, with a recommended implementation date within 18 months (by March 2021)
- This guidance includes multiple options
 - Pathogen reduction with a 5-day shelf life
 - Primary culture of apheresis collections, apheresis units and WBD pools ≥24 h to ≥48 h of actual time of collection, with minimum volume of platelets cultured of 16 mL, split between aerobic and anaerobic culture bottles, with shelf life of 3-7 days
 - Secondary testing using culture or rapid method with Safety Measure label to extend shelf life to 4-7 days
- Implementation of the new guidance will have significant logistic and economic challenges
- Primary testing of apheresis collections 24 h after collection with a secondary rapid test on units on days 4-7 offers an approach that is least disruptive to the platelet supply and will result in cost savings instead of increased costs with other approaches



Data sources for slide on contamination rates at issue or outdate

Contaminants missed by primary culture: Independent of volume or time of testing

| | | | Time tested | Volume tested | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | Period | Primary test | after collection | primary method | Secondary | Time tested | Contam rate at | Contam rate |
| Dumont 2010 | 2005-2008 | BacT/ALERT BPA and BPN | 24-36 h | 4-5 mL X 2 | BacT/ALERT BPA and BPN | Day 8 | 4/6,039 | 662 |
| Dumont 2010 (revised) | 2005-2008 | BacT/ALERT BPA and BPN | 24-36 h | 4-5 mL X 2 | BacT/ALERT BPA and BPN | Day 8 | 2/6,039 | 331 |
| Murphy 2008 aph+pools | 2005-2007 | BacT/ALERT BPA and BPN | 36 h | 7.5-10 mL X 2 | BacT/ALERT BPA and BPN | Day 7 | 4/8,282 (excl. ana) | 483 |
| Jacobs 2018 | 2004-2017 | BacT/ALERT BPA or eBDS | 24-36 h | BPA: 8-10 mL eBDS: 3-4 mL | Plate culture 0.1 mL | Day 4-6 | 29/71,000 | 408 |
| Jacobs 2011 | 2008-2010 | BacT/ALERT BPA | 24-36 h | 8-10 mL | PGD Test | Day 2-5 | 9/27,620 | 326 |
| Ladenheim 2012 | 2004-2011 | BacT/ALERT BPA | 24-36 h | 8-10 mL | PCR | Day 3-5 | 26/85,000 | 306 |
| Ramirez 2017 | 2010-2016 | BacT/ALERT BPA | 24 h | 8-10 mL | BacT/ALERT BPA and BPN | Day 6 | 7/8,498 (excl. ana) | 823 |
| Bloch 2018 | 2016-2017 | BacT/ALERT BPA | 24-36 h | 8-10 mL | BacT/ALERT BPA | Day 3 | 5/23,044 | 217 |
| Bloch 2018 | 2016-2017 | BacT/ALERT BPA | 24-36 h | 8-10 mL | BacT/ALERT BPA | Day 3 | 8/23,044 | 347 |
| McDonald 2018 | 2011-2017 | BacT/ALERT BPA and BPN | 36-48 h | 16 ml per split (~7% of collection) | BacT/ALERT BPA and BPN | Day 8 | 1/6,015 (APH + pools) | 166 |
| Vasallo 2018 | 2013 | BacT/ALERT BPA | 24-36 h | 3.8% of collection | BacT/ALERT BPA | Day 6 | 3/8,039 | 373 |
| Vasallo 2018 | 2013 | BacT/ALERT BPA | 24-36 h | 3.8% of collection | BacT/ALERT BPA | Day 6 | 6/8,039 | 746 |

Studies in red are interdiction studies

Nine secondary culture or testing studies of apheresis contaminants missed by primary culture: Independent of volume, time of testing and test method

41



Cost comparison

| | Baseline | Pathogen reduction | Point-of-release testing | Secondary culture |
|--|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Unit costs (US\$): mean (SD) | | | | |
| Acquisition | 557.91 (0.14) | 748.95 (11.64) | 557.91 (0.14) | 557.91 (0.14) |
| Testing/manipulation | 13.58 (0.01) | 0.00 (0.00) | 45.4 (3.71) | 30.83 (1.79) |
| Transfusion | 78.83 (4.40) | 78.83 (4.40) | 82.02 (1.79) | 78.80 (4.49) |
| Complications | 0.91 (2.09) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.75 (1.89) | 0.61 (1.73) |
| Total | 651.23 (4.92) | 827.78 (12.38) | 686.23 (4.76) | 668.16 (5.09) |
| Unit disposition (%) | | | | |
| Uncontaminated transfusion | 90.48% | 90.51% | 94.16% | 90.46% |
| Contaminated transfusion | 0.04% | 0.00% | 0.02% | 0.01% |
| Disposed | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.77% | 0.04% |
| Expired | 9.49% | 9.49% | 5.06% | 9.49% |
| Total cost per transfused unit (US\$): mean (SD) | 719.48 (40.49) | 914.53 (52.79) | 728.65 (16.72) | 738.50 (42.43) |
| Annual costs (million US\$): mean (SD) | 14.39 (0.81) | 18.29 (1.06) | 14.57 (0.33) | 14.77 (0.85) |

costs are expressed per "effective" unit received by a hospital transfusion service from a blood collection agency. Annual costs assume 20,000 transfused units per year.

Primary and secondary cultures using aerobic bottles only in these calculations Costs includes costs of platelet unit, secondary testing, transfusion, complications and expired units

Kacker S, Bloch EM, Ness PM, Gehrie EA, Marshall CE, Lokhandwala PM, Tobian AAR. Financial impact of alternative approaches to reduce bacterial contamination of platelet transfusions. Transfusion. 2019 Apr; 59(4):1291-1299. Epub 2019 Jan 8.